

Concentration-Dependent Effects on Intracellular and Surface pH of Exposing *Xenopus* oocytes to Solutions Containing $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$

Raif Musa-Aziz · Lihong Jiang · Li-Ming Chen ·
Kevin L. Behar · Walter F. Boron

Received: 24 November 2008 / Accepted: 21 January 2009 / Published online: 26 February 2009
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

Abstract Others have shown that exposing oocytes to high levels of $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ (10–20 mM) causes a paradoxical fall in intracellular pH (pH_i), whereas low levels (e.g., 0.5 mM) cause little pH_i change. Here we monitored pH_i and extracellular surface pH (pH_s) while exposing oocytes to 5 or 0.5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$. We confirm that 5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ causes a paradoxical pH_i fall ($-\Delta\text{pH}_i \cong 0.2$), but also observe an abrupt pH_s fall ($-\Delta\text{pH}_s \cong 0.2$)—indicative of NH_3 influx—followed by a slow decay. Reducing $[\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+]$ to 0.5 mM minimizes pH_i changes but maintains pH_s changes at a reduced magnitude. Expressing AmtB (bacterial Rh homologue) exaggerates $-\Delta\text{pH}_s$ at both $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ levels. During removal of 0.5 or 5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$, failure of pH_s to markedly overshoot bulk extracellular pH implies little NH_3 efflux and, thus, little free cytosolic $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$. A new analysis of the effects of NH_3 vs. NH_4^+ fluxes on pH_s

and pH_i indicates that (a) NH_3 rather than NH_4^+ fluxes dominate pH_i and pH_s changes and (b) oocytes dispose of most incoming NH_3 . NMR studies of oocytes exposed to ^{15}N -labeled $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ show no significant formation of glutamine but substantial $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ accumulation in what is likely an acid intracellular compartment. In conclusion, parallel measurements of pH_i and pH_s demonstrate that NH_3 flows across the plasma membrane and provide new insights into how a protein molecule in the plasma membrane—AmtB—enhances the flux of a gas across a biological membrane.

Keywords NH_3 permeability · Surface pH measurement · *Xenopus* oocytes · AmtB

The movement of NH_3 across cell membranes is important for several physiological processes, including nitrogen metabolism by the liver and acid-base transport by the kidney. In 1897, Overton—monitoring the precipitation of tannins in the algae *Spirogyra*—demonstrated that it is NH_3 rather than NH_4^+ that readily crosses the cell membrane. Later, Warburg (1922), Harvey (1911), and Jacobs (1922) reached similar conclusions working on a variety of preparations and using different approaches to show that the influx of NH_3 produces a rise in internal pH (pH_i). More recently, work with pH-sensitive microelectrodes by Boron and De Weer (1976b) on squid giant axons demonstrated that, although the influx of the weak base NH_3 causes a large and rapid increase in pH_i , the lower influx of the weak acid NH_4^+ produces a slow fall in pH_i during the “plateau phase” of the $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ exposure. Moreover, this influx of NH_4^+ during the exposure to extracellular $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ leads to large undershoot of the original pH_i , once the $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ is removed from the extracellular

R. Musa-Aziz · L.-M. Chen · W. F. Boron
Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology,
Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven,
CT 06520, USA

L. Jiang
Department of Diagnostic Radiology and Magnetic Resonance
Research Center, Yale University School of Medicine,
New Haven, CT 06520, USA

K. L. Behar
Department of Psychiatry and Magnetic Resonance Research
Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven,
CT 06520, USA

R. Musa-Aziz (✉) · W. F. Boron (✉)
Department of Physiology & Biophysics, Case Western Reserve
University, 10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA
e-mail: raif.aziz@case.edu

W. F. Boron
e-mail: walter.boron@case.edu

solution. This effect is the basis of the widely used “ammonium prepulse” technique that they introduced (Boron and De Weer 1976a, 1976b).

Aickin and Thomas (1977) subsequently showed that the uptake of NH₄⁺ can be so powerful in mammalian skeletal muscle that the alkalinizing effect of NH₃ entry is barely detectable. Kikeri et al. (1989) made similar observations when introducing NH₃/NH₄⁺ into the lumen of the renal thick ascending limb. Waisbren et al. (1994) were the first to definitively identify a gas-impermeable membrane, showing that neither NH₃ nor NH₄⁺ (nor CO₂ nor HCO₃⁻) could cross the apical membranes of gastric gland cells.

In small-diameter *Xenopus* oocytes (Keicher and Meech 1994), an exposure to 20 mM extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺ produces the classic biphasic rise in pHi, followed by a fall. However, in large-diameter oocytes (Burckhardt and Frömter 1992; Keicher and Meech 1994), an exposure to 20 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ causes a paradoxical fall in pHi and a strong positive shift in membrane potential (V_m). To explain the above effect, Burckhardt and Frömter hypothesized that NH₄⁺ enters via a nonselective cation channel, dissociates to form NH₃ + H⁺, possibly followed by sequestration of NH₃ in lipid stores. This model calls for negligible NH₃ permeability and accounts for the then-available data. Keicher and Meech found that the NH₃/NH₄⁺-induced fall in pHi requires extracellular Cl⁻ and proposed that the fall in pHi is due in part to an Na/K/Cl cotransporter that carries NH₄⁺ in place of K⁺. However, the acidification was only slightly inhibited by Na⁺ removal or by bumetanide. Moreover, Na/NH₄/Cl cotransport would not account for the positive shift in V_m, which was not abolished by Cl⁻ removal. Note that, in the Keicher-Meech model, the pHi decrease would require that the NH₄⁺ influx be accompanied by an NH₃ efflux, as outlined originally by Boron and De Weer, and lead to a buildup of cytosolic NH₄⁺. However, if the oocytes could mediate NH₃ efflux, then NH₃/NH₄⁺ removal would lead to a rapid decrease in pHi, which is not observed. Thus, although the Keicher-Meech model has interesting features, it cannot account for all of the then-available observations.

Later, Bakouh et al. (2006) confirmed that *Xenopus* oocytes exposed to relatively high levels of NH₃/NH₄⁺ (i.e., 10 mM) exhibit the fall in pHi noted above, but also found that oocytes exposed to only 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ exhibited no change in pHi and no substantial induced inward current (related to net entry of positive charge, namely, NH₄⁺) (Bakouh et al. 2004, 2006). The above results are consistent with the hypothesis that the NH₃/NH₄⁺-induced fall in pHi and positive shift in V_m are due to a low-affinity NH₄⁺-uptake mechanism that is, to some extent, Cl⁻ dependent and that is largely inoperative at 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺.

More recently, it has become clear that the AmtB/Rh family of membrane proteins can serve as a conduit for

NH₃/NH₄⁺. The crystal structures of several prokaryotic family members are consistent with the idea that NH₃ passes through pores in each of the three monomers of the homotrimer (Fabiny et al. 1991; Soupene et al. 2002; Khademi et al. 2004; Zheng et al. 2004; Andrade et al. 2005; Khademi and Stroud 2006; Conroy et al. 2007). In *Xenopus* oocytes expressing RhCG, Bakouh et al. (2004, 2006) found that an exposure to 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ produced a rapid, small, and short-lived alkalinization that was followed by a slow, small, and sustained acidification. These authors proposed that the transient pHi increase reflects the influx of NH₃, whereas the subsequent pHi decrease reflects NH₄⁺ influx in concert with NH₃ efflux—all fluxes mediated by RhCG. However, as noted in connection with the Keicher-Meech data, if RhCG could mediate NH₃ efflux—and if appreciable NH₄⁺ accumulated inside the oocyte during the preceding NH₃/NH₄⁺ exposure—then NH₃/NH₄⁺ removal should have led to a large and rapid pHi decrease, which was not observed.

The purpose of the present work was to elucidate the movements of NH₃ vs. NH₄⁺ across the plasma membrane—and the potential role of Amt in mediating these fluxes—using the oocyte as a model system. An ancillary goal, necessary to verify our interpretation of the data, was to explore the unusual handling of NH₃/NH₄⁺ by the oocyte. Our approach was to extend to NH₃ fluxes a technique that we introduced earlier to assess CO₂ fluxes across the oocyte membrane (Endeward et al. 2006; Musa-Aziz et al. 2009). In the earlier work, we pushed a blunt pH microelectrode against the oocyte membrane and found that an exposure to CO₂/HCO₃⁻ causes a transient rise in surface pH (pH_S). First observed in 1984 by De Hemptinne and Huguenin (1984), who worked on rat soleus muscle, this rise in pH occurs as CO₂ uptake causes the depletion of CO₂ at the cell surface, leading to the reaction HCO₃⁻ + H⁺ → CO₂ + H₂O. In 1986, Chesler (1986) found that exposing lamprey neurons to the weak base NH₃ causes a transient decrease in extracellular pH. We hypothesized that a hitherto unseen flux of NH₃ into the oocyte would deplete NH₃ at the extracellular surface. This depletion would lead to the reaction NH₄⁺ → NH₃ + H⁺, which would lower pH_S and also partially replenish surface NH₃ (Fig. 1). We found that exposures to both 5 and 0.5 mM elicited transient pH_S decreases, and that these decreases were augmented by the expression of AmtB. The exposure to 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ also caused a paradoxical fall in pHi; thus, in this case, the pH fell on both sides of the membrane. We have developed a model that allows us to predict the direction in which fluxes of NH₃ and NH₄⁺ would affect pH on both sides of the membrane. Guided by this model and our new pH_S data, we conclude that (a) earlier models of how 20 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ affects oocyte pHi are incomplete; (b) in oocytes exposed to 5 or 0.5 mM

NH₃/NH₄⁺, the influx of NH₃ produces the dominant effects on pH_S (though we cannot rule out substantial NH₄⁺ fluxes); (c) oocytes metabolize or sequester incoming NH₃; and (d) AmtB enhances permeability to NH₃ more than that to NH₄⁺.

Methods

Expression in *Xenopus* Oocytes

cRNA Synthesis

As described elsewhere (Musa-Aziz et al. 2009), we used AmtB that we had tagged at the C terminus with EGFP (enhanced green fluorescent protein). We then used *NotI* to linearize AmtB cDNA constructs in pGH19, purified linearized cDNA using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA), transcribed capped cRNA using the T7 mMessage mMachine kit (Ambion, Austin, TX), and, finally, purified and concentrated cRNA using the RNeasy MinElute RNA Cleanup Kit (QIAGEN). We determined the RNA concentration using ultraviolet absorbance and assessed quality using gel electrophoresis.

Xenopus Oocyte Isolation

We surgically removed ovaries from anesthetized frogs, separated the oocytes using a collagenase treatment (Romero et al. 1998; Toye et al. 2006), selected Stage V–VI oocytes, and stored them until use at 18°C in OR3 medium supplemented with 500 U of penicillin and 500 U of streptomycin.




Fig. 1 Model of an oocyte exposed to NH₃/NH₄⁺. The large purple arrows indicate the direction of bulk solution flow. USL unstirred layer; Bulk ECF bulk extracellular fluid

Microinjection of cRNAs

One day after isolation, we injected oocytes with either 25 ng of cRNA encoding AmtB-EGFP cRNA (50 nl of a 0.5 ng/nl cRNA solution) or 50 nl of sterile water (Ambion, Austin, TX) in the case of control (“H₂O”) oocytes. We stored the oocytes 4–6 days after injection for use in experiments. We verified delivery of EGFP-tagged AmtB to a region near the plasma membrane using a 96-well plate reader (BMG Labtechnologies, Inc., Durham, NC) to assess whole-oocyte fluorescence (Toye et al. 2006).

Solutions

The nominally CO₂/HCO₃⁻-free ND96 solution contained (mM): 96 NaCl, 2 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 1.8 CaCl₂, and 5 HEPES. We titrated the solution to pH 7.50 using NaOH. The osmolality of all solutions was 200 mosmol/kg H₂O. We made the solution containing 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ in ND96 by replacing 5 mM NaCl with 5 mM NH₄Cl. We made 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ in ND96 by diluting the 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ solution 1:10 with ND96 solution.

Electrophysiological Measurements

Chamber

Oocytes were placed in plastic perfusion chamber with a channel 3 mm wide × 30 mm long, and constantly superfused at a flow of 3 ml/min. Perfusing solutions were delivered from plastic syringes and Tygon tubing using syringe pumps (Harvard Apparatus, South Natick, MA). Switching between solutions was performed by pneumatically operated valves (Clippard Instrument Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH). All experiments were performed at room temperature (~22°C).

Measurement of Intracellular pH (pH_i)

Our approach was similar to that detailed previously (Toye et al. 2006; Lu et al. 2006; Parker et al. 2008). Briefly, we impaled the oocyte with two microelectrodes, one for measuring membrane potential (connected to a model 725 two-electrode oocyte voltage-clamp amplifier; Warner Instruments Corp., Hamden, CT) and the other for measuring pH_i (connected to a model FD223 high-impedance electrometer; World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL). Both electrodes had tip diameters of ~1 μm. The pH microelectrode was of the liquid membrane style (proton cocktail no. 95293; Fluka Chemical Corp., Ronkonkoma, NY). The analog subtraction of the V_m-electrode signal from the pH-electrode signal produced the voltage due to pH_i. We acquired and analyzed data by computer, using

software written in-house. We calibrated the pH_i/V_m in the chamber with pH standards at pH 6.0 and 8.0 (to determine the slope), followed by a single-point calibration with the standard ND96 solution (pH 7.50) in the chamber with the oocyte present, just before impalement. The mean spontaneous initial V_m in this study was -39 ± 2 mV ($n = 30$).

Measurement of Surface pH (pH_S)

The microelectrode for measuring pH_S (connected to a FD223 electrometer; World Precision Instruments) had a tip diameter of 15 μm and was of the same liquid-membrane design as the pH_i microelectrode. The external reference electrode for the V_m and pH_S measurements was a calomel half-cell (connected to a model 750 electrometer; World Precision Instruments) contacting a 3 M KCl-filled micropipette, which in turn contacted the fluid in the chamber. The analog subtraction of the calomel-electrode signal from the pH_S -electrode signal produced the signal due to pH_S . The analog subtraction of the calomel-electrode signal from the V_m -electrode signal produced the signal due to V_m . The voltage-clamp amplifier generated the virtual ground via a Ag/AgCl half cell (connected to the I_{Sense} input) contacting a second 3 M KCl-filled microelectrode, the tip of which we positioned close to the oocyte. We used an ultrafine micromanipulator (model MPC-200 system; Sutter Instrument Co., Novato, CA) to position the pH_S -electrode tip at the surface of the oocyte, and then to advance it ~ 40 μm further, whereupon we observed a slight dimple in the membrane. Periodically, we withdrew the electrode 300 μm from the surface of the oocyte for recalibration in the bulk extracellular fluid (pH 7.50). The fluid bathing the oocyte flowed at 3 ml/min. Relative to the flowing solution, the tip of the pH_S microelectrode was just in the “shadow” of the oocyte (Fig. 1).

Analysis of pH Data

Initial dpH_i/dt

We computed the initial rate of change of pH_i (dpH_i/dt)—produced by introducing 5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ —by determining the line of best fit to the steepest part of the pH_i vs. time record.

Maximum pH_S Spike Heights

We used the following approach to compute the maximum magnitude (i.e., “spike height” or ΔpH_S) of the pH_S transient elicited by applying 5 or 0.5 mM extracellular $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$. We determined the initial pH_S —that is, before application of $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ —by comparing the pH_S -electrode

voltage signal when the electrode tip was at the oocyte surface with the voltage signal obtained when the tip was in the bulk extracellular fluid (assumed pH = 7.50). We determined the maximum pH_S during the $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ exposure by comparing the voltage signal (at a time corresponding to the extreme pH_S value) when the electrode tip was at the oocyte surface with the voltage signal obtained a few minutes later, when the tip was in the bulk extracellular fluid (assumed pH = 7.50). The ΔpH_S was the algebraic difference between the extreme and the initial pH values, one based on a calibration in an ordinary ND96 solution and the other based on a separate calibration in the $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ -containing solution. We performed separate calibrations of the pH_S electrode in the plain and $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ -containing ND96 solutions to minimize potential errors in the event that $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ affects the proton cocktail.

NMR Spectroscopy

Measurements of Metabolites with ^1H - ^{13}C NMR

Twenty oocytes were incubated with 0.5 mM $^{15}\text{NH}_3/^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$, washed extensively, and homogenized with ethanol to extract water-soluble metabolites. A small quantity of [2- ^{13}C]glycine (30 nmol) was added as an internal concentration reference and to control for potential sample losses during the extraction procedure. After centrifugation of the homogenate, the supernatant was lyophilized and resuspended in 10% D_2O buffer containing a small amount of 3-(trimethylsilyl)-[2,2,3,3- d_4]-propionate(Na^+) (TSP) as a chemical-shift reference. ^1H - ^{13}C NMR spectra were acquired fully relaxed at 11.7 T (Patel et al. 2005), using a high-resolution Bruker Avance NMR spectrometer operating at 500.13 MHz (^1H) and 125.7 MHz (^{13}C). Spectral data were acquired fully relaxed with a sweep width of 6009 Hz, 8192 data points, interscan delay of 20 s, and 128 scans. Free-induction decays were zero filled, exponential filtered ($\text{LB} = 0.5$ Hz), and Fourier transformed. Metabolite peak intensities were measured by integration and referenced to ^{13}C -labeled glycine with correction for differences in the number of hydrogen atoms. The glycine signal was determined to be 100% enriched with ^{13}C , indicating no significant overlap with endogenous signals.

Measurements of $^{15}\text{NH}_3/^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ with ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC

Eighty oocytes were incubated with $^{15}\text{NH}_3/^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ for 30 min, followed by extensive washing with ^{15}N -free/ $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ -free buffer. The washed oocytes were cooled on ice and homogenized, yielding ~ 0.4 ml of supernatant after centrifugation. The medium was then acidified with 50 μl of 2.5 M HCl, 50 μl of D_2O was added for field-frequency lock, and samples were loaded into 5-mm NMR tubes.

NMR spectra were obtained at 5°C to minimize the exchange of hydrogen atoms between ammonia and water. NMR experiments were performed at 11.7 T using a high-resolution Bruker Avance NMR spectrometer operating at 500.13 MHz (¹H) and 50.683 MHz (¹⁵N). Measurements of total ¹⁵NH₃/¹⁵NH₄⁺ concentrations in oocytes were determined with the Heteronuclear (¹H-¹⁵N) Single Quantum Coherence (HSQC) NMR spectroscopy technique (Grzesiek and Bax 1993; Kanamori et al. 1995). HSQC is well suited for detection of nuclei (e.g., ¹⁵N) with a low gyromagnetic ratio (γ). Because γ for ¹⁵N is ~ 10 times lower than for ¹H, the sensitivity for ammonia-nitrogen detection is enhanced by a factor of $(\gamma_{\text{H}}/\gamma_{\text{N}})^{5/2} \approx 306$. Water suppression was achieved using the Water Suppression by Gradient-Tailored Excitation (WATERGATE) technique (Piotto et al. 1992). ¹⁵N decoupling was obtained using Waltz16 (Shaka et al. 1983). Free-induction decays were acquired with a spectral width of 6009 Hz, 8192 data points, interscan interval of 10 s, and 128 scans. All spectra were zero filled to 65,536 points. The total ammonium (NH₃ + NH₄⁺) concentrations in oocytes were determined by comparing the integrated intensities of the ammonia ¹H

resonance to the incubation medium containing 0.5 mM ¹⁵NH₃/¹⁵NH₄⁺, using the same pulse acquisition conditions, and assuming that oocytes are spheres of 1.2-mm diameter and contain 40% water by weight. ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of oocytes and their incubation medium were acquired in a paired manner.

Statistics

We present data as mean \pm SE. To compare the difference between two means, we performed a Student's *t*-test (two tails). To compare more than two means, we performed a one-way ANOVA and a Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) multiple comparison, using KaleidaGraph (Version 4; Synergy Software). We considered $p < 0.05$ to be significant.

Results

Figure 1 summarizes how the influx of NH₃ would affect pH_S. As NH₃ enters the cell, [NH₃] near the external surface of the membrane—[NH₃]_S—falls. The replenishment




Fig. 2 Intracellular pH (pH_i) and surface (pH_s) records during application and removal of 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺. **a** H₂O-injected oocyte. **b** AmtB EGFP-tagged expressing oocytes. At the indicated times, we switched the extracellular solution from ND96 to 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ and then back again. **b** shows two representative experiments: on the left, pH_S at first decays rapidly and then more slowly, whereas on the right, pH_S decays slowly throughout the NH₃/NH₄⁺ exposure. In both **a** and **b**, the purple record is pH_S, the blue record is pH_i, and the brown record is V_m. The vertical gray bands represent periods during

which the pH_S electrode was moved to the bulk ECF for calibration (fixed pH of 7.50). In H₂O oocytes, mean pH_i was 7.10 ± 0.04 before and 6.84 ± 0.06 during exposure to 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ ($n = 6$; $p = 0.005$); the comparable mean V_m values were -43 ± 2 and -25 ± 2 mV ($n = 6$; $p = 0.0002$). In AmtB oocytes, the mean pH_i was 7.14 ± 0.04 before and 6.98 ± 0.06 during exposure to 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ ($n = 8$; $p = 0.037$); the comparable mean V_m values were -38 ± 4 and -26 ± 2 ($n = 8$; $p = 0.010$)

of the lost NH₃ near membrane surface occurs by two routes. First, NH₃ diffuses from the bulk extracellular fluid to approach the membrane, an effect that we cannot detect. Second, NH₄⁺ near the membrane dissociates to form both NH₃ as well as the H⁺ that we detect as a fall in pH_S. As the concentration of NH₃ inside the cell ([NH₃]_i) rises, the influx of NH₃ slows, and pH_S relaxes toward the pH of the bulk extracellular fluid (pH_{Bulk}). In Fig. 1, we assume that only NH₃ enters the cell. If only NH₄⁺ enters, the reverse set of events would occur and pH_S would rise. In the Discussion, we consider the situation in which both NH₃ and NH₄⁺ enter.

We explored the model in Fig. 1 by exposing oocytes to 5 or 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺. Figures 2 and 3 show representative experiments at these two levels of NH₃/NH₄⁺, and Fig. 4 summarizes the mean values for these experiments.

Effects of 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺

Figure 2 shows the results of representative experiments on oocytes exposed to a relatively high level of NH₃/NH₄⁺, 5 mM.




Fig. 3 Intracellular pH (pH_i) and surface (pH_S) records during application and removal of 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺. **a** AmtB EGFP-tagged expressing oocyte. **b** H₂O-injected oocyte. At the indicated times, we switched the extracellular solution from ND96 to 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ and then back again. In both **a** and **b**, the purple record is pH_S, the blue record is pH_i, and the brown record is V_m. The vertical gray bands represent periods during which the pH_S electrode was moved to the bulk ECF for calibration (fixed pH of 7.50). In H₂O oocytes, the mean pH_i was 7.21 ± 0.05 before and 7.17 ± 0.05 during exposure to 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ ($n = 8$; $p = 0.61$); the comparable mean V_m values were -44 ± 2 and -40 ± 2 mV ($n = 8$; $p = 0.14$). In AmtB oocytes, the mean pH_i was 7.24 ± 0.05 before and 7.21 ± 0.05 during exposure to 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ ($n = 8$; $p = 0.52$); the comparable mean V_m values were -31 ± 4 and -30 ± 4 ($n = 8$; $p = 0.87$)

H₂O-Injected Control oocyte

Figure 2a refers to a control, H₂O-injected oocyte. Here—as previously reported by others for 20 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ (Burckhardt and Frömter 1992; Keicher and Meech 1994)—the addition of 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ to the extracellular fluid causes a moderately slow but sustained fall in pH_i. Simultaneously, pH_S abruptly falls by ~0.2 and then slowly decays (i.e., rises toward pH_{Bulk}). The initial fall in pH_S indicates that the influx of NH₃ (as opposed to NH₄⁺) is dominant with respect to effects on pH_S. Note that, at a time when pH_i has reached a stable value, pH_S is still substantially lower than pH_{Bulk}, indicating that NH₃ is still entering the cell. Applying 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ also causes a very slowly developing depolarization that reaches a peak after nearly 15 min—not the instantaneous depolarization expected of a pre-existing NH₄⁺ channel—that slowly decays by nearly 9 mV.

The removal of the NH₃/NH₄⁺ leads to a slow recovery of pH_i that is incomplete over the time frame of this experiment. The removal of NH₃/NH₄⁺ causes pH_S to overshoot pH_{Bulk} by a small amount (0.048 ± 0.004 ; $n = 5$), and then to decay slowly. The presence of an overshoot implies that—regarding effects on pH_S—a small efflux of NH₃ dominates over any efflux of NH₄⁺. This exiting NH₃ presumably arises from a small amount of intracellular NH₄⁺ that dissociated to form H⁺ (which would lower pH_i) and NH₃ (which would exit the cell). The short duration of the overshoot implies that the pool of intracellular NH₄⁺ was small. If intracellular NH₄⁺ had accumulated to a substantial degree during the NH₃/NH₄⁺ exposure, then the removal of NH₃/NH₄⁺ should have led to an abrupt fall in pH_i (which we did not observe) and a pH_S overshoot whose magnitude should have matched that of the initial NH₃/NH₄⁺-induced fall in pH_S (i.e., ~0.2). Removing NH₃/NH₄⁺ also causes V_m to undershoot its initial level by ~1 mV and then to relax to the pre-NH₃/NH₄⁺ value. Note that the speed of the repolarization during NH₃/NH₄⁺ removal is much faster than the depolarization during NH₃/NH₄⁺ application, but still slower than the speed of the pH_S increase during NH₃/NH₄⁺ removal.

Oocyte Expressing AmtB Tagged with EGFP

Figure 2b shows two experiments on oocytes expressing AmtB-EGFP. At the left, the switch to 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ causes a fall in pH_i, though one that is slower than for the H₂O oocyte. On the other hand, the maximal fall in surface pH (which we refer to as $-\Delta$ pH_S) is substantially larger for the AmtB-EGFP oocyte than for the H₂O-injected oocyte, implying that the relative uptake of NH₃ over NH₄⁺ is higher in the AmtB oocyte. In the oocyte at the left in

Fig. 2b, this shift in pH_S at first decays rapidly and then more slowly. In the oocyte at the right in Fig. 2b, the fall in pH_S decays very slowly throughout the NH_3/NH_4^+ exposure. In both AmtB oocytes—as was true for the H_2O oocyte— pH_S fails to decay fully to pH_{Bulk} during the NH_3/NH_4^+ exposure. The V_m changes induced by application and removal of NH_3/NH_4^+ are similar to, but smaller than, those for H_2O oocytes.

The removal of NH_3/NH_4^+ produces about the same effects in the AmtB oocyte as in the H_2O oocyte (mean overshoot in AmtB oocytes, 0.037 ± 0.004 ; $n = 6$).

Summary of AmtB-EGFP Versus H_2O

As summarized on the left side in Fig. 4 for a larger number of experiments, the effect of AmtB vs. H_2O is statistically significant for the initial rate of pH_i decrease (dpH_i/dt) elicited by NH_3/NH_4^+ (Fig. 4a). The slower fall of pH_i in the case of AmtB oocytes is consistent with the hypothesis that, regarding effects on pH_i (a) AmtB acts by predominantly enhancing NH_3 vs. NH_4^+ influx (b) the enhanced influx of NH_3 to some extent promotes the reaction $NH_3 + H^+ \rightarrow NH_4^+$ in the cytosol, and (c) the consumption of cytosolic H^+ slows the NH_3/NH_4^+ -induced fall in pH_i .

The effect of expressing AmtB did not reach statistical significance regarding the NH_3/NH_4^+ -induced ΔpH_i

(Fig. 4b). However, AmtB oocytes had a greater $-\Delta pH_S$ (Fig. 4c), indicating a greater relative influx of NH_3 and thus supporting the hypothesis in the previous paragraph. Finally, the AmtB oocytes had a smaller ΔV_m (Fig. 4d).

Effects of 0.5 mM NH_3/NH_4^+


Figure 3 shows representative data from a pair of oocytes exposed to a relatively low level of NH_3/NH_4^+ , 0.5 mM.

H_2O -Injected Control Oocyte

As shown in Fig. 3a for a H_2O -injected oocyte, the switch to 0.5 mM NH_3/NH_4^+ causes a slow and very slight fall in pH_i . Although pH_S abruptly falls, $-\Delta pH_S$ is substantially lower than for H_2O oocytes exposed to the much higher level of NH_3/NH_4^+ (i.e., 5 mM; see Fig. 2a). Nevertheless, regarding effects on pH_S , the effect of NH_3 influx (over NH_4^+ influx) is clearly dominant. The V_m changes caused by application and removal of 0.5 mM NH_3/NH_4^+ are much smaller than those in the experiments with 5 mM NH_3/NH_4^+ .

The removal of NH_3/NH_4^+ evoked virtually no recovery of pH_i in Fig. 3a, although some oocytes exhibited a slight pH_i recovery. In Fig. 3a, pH_S rose to a value ~ 0.02 higher than pH_{Bulk} . The mean pH_S overshoot was 0.006 ± 0.003

Fig. 4 Summary of the mean initial rate of pH_i decrease (dpH_i/dt) and magnitude of the NH_3 -induced change in steady-state pH_i (ΔpH_i), ΔpH_S , and V_m for larger groups of experiments like those in Figs. 2 and 3



($n = 8$; 0.006 vs. 0 ; $p = 0.28$). These data indicate that—regarding effects on pH_S —the efflux of NH_3 is negligible relative to that of NH_4^+ .

Oocyte Expressing AmtB Tagged with EGFP

Figure 3b shows that, with an oocyte expressing AmtB-EGFP, the switch to 0.5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ causes little change in pH_i . The maximal fall in pH_S is much larger for the AmtB-EGFP oocyte than for the H_2O oocyte, though both values are much smaller than for their counterparts in exposures to 5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$. The V_m change is minimal.

The removal of $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ from AmtB-EGFP oocytes (Fig. 3b) evoked virtually the same response as in H_2O oocytes. The mean pH_S overshoot was 0.014 ± 0.006 ($n = 8$; 0.014 vs. 0 ; $p = 0.30$), indicating that—regarding effects on pH_S —the efflux of NH_3 is negligible relative to that of NH_4^+ .

Summary of AmtB-EGFP Versus H_2O

As summarized on the right side in Fig. 4, the effect of AmtB vs. H_2O for an exposure to 0.5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ follows essentially the same pattern as for an exposure to 5 mM, except that the difference for AmtB vs. H_2O is not statistically significant for dpH_i/dt (Fig. 4e). The dpH_i/dt for H_2O oocytes is significantly different from zero ($p = 0.05$) but not for AmtB oocytes ($p = 0.26$). As summarized in Fig. 4f, the ΔpH_i was not different from zero for H_2O oocytes (0.038 ± 0.010 ; $n = 8$; $p = 0.15$) but was significantly—but not substantially different—for AmtB oocytes (0.040 ± 0.005 ; $n = 8$; $p = 0.01$). As was the case for the exposures to 5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$, the AmtB oocytes also had a higher $-\Delta\text{pH}_S$ (Fig. 4g), indicating a

greater relative NH_3 influx. As also was the case for the 5 mM exposures, the ΔV_m was lower for the AmtB oocytes (Fig. 4h).

Comparing ΔpH_S data for 5 mM vs. 0.5 mM (Fig. 4c vs. g), we see that the difference (always higher for the experiments with 5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$) is statistically significant both for H_2O oocytes and for AmtB oocytes.


NMR Studies of Oocytes Exposed to ^{15}N -Labeled 0.5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$

A paradox in our results is that AmtB increases $-\Delta\text{pH}_S$ (Fig. 4g)—indicating that AmtB enhances the influx of NH_3 —and yet has no significant effect on the initial dpH_i/dt (Fig. 4e) or ΔpH_i (Fig. 4f). Moreover, removing extracellular 0.5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ produces little pH_S overshoot beyond pH_{Bulk} (Fig. 3a, b), indicating little efflux of NH_3 . The most straightforward explanation for these data is that the oocyte somehow disposes of most incoming NH_3 by either metabolizing it to a neutral product or sequestering it. We test this hypothesis in the next two sections. Note that, a priori, we can rule out the possibility that the oocyte metabolizes or sequesters NH_4^+ (as opposed to NH_3). If the oocyte first converted the entering NH_3 to NH_4^+ ($\text{NH}_3 + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{NH}_4^+$) and then metabolized that NH_4^+ to a neutral product(s), the result would be an increase in pH_i , which we would have easily observed in experiments with 0.5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$.

Assessment of Glutamine Formation

The leading candidate for the metabolism of NH_3 to a neutral product would be the conversion of glutamate to glutamine via glutamine synthetase: $\text{Glu}^- + \text{MgATP}^- + \text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{Gln} + \text{MgADP}^- + \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$.

A) Sham incubation



B) 0.5 mM $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ incubation




Fig. 5 ^1H -NMR spectra of ethanol extracts of oocytes. **a** Sham incubation (i.e., oocytes not exposed to $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$). **b** Oocytes incubated with 0.5 mM $^{15}\text{NH}_3/^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ for 10 min. Each spectrum represents the extract of 20 oocytes. TSP, 3-trimethylsilyl-1-[2,2,3,3-d₄]-propionate(Na^+), was added as a chemical-shift reference (0.0 ppm). *Glycine was added during oocyte extraction to serve as an internal concentration standard and to control for potential metabolite losses. **Residual ethanol contaminant remaining after

extraction. Estimated concentrations of metabolites in control noninjected oocytes not exposed to $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$. (A) Glutamate (Glu): 6.48 mM. Estimated metabolite concentrations after incubation with $^{15}\text{NH}_3/^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ for 10 min: Glu: H_2O , 1.69 mM. Glutamine (Gln): 1.1 mM. Glu and Gln methylene protons (H_4 and H_3) and lactate methyl protons (H_3) are depicted. Glu H_4 and H_3 were observed in control noninjected oocytes incubated with $^{15}\text{NH}_3/^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$

To test this hypothesis, we incubated noninjected oocytes for 10 min either in ND96 alone (for sham incubation) or in ND96 plus 0.5 mM ¹⁵NH₃/¹⁵NH₄⁺. We then homogenized the oocytes with ethanol to extract water-soluble metabolites and subjected the extract to ¹H-¹³C] NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 5). The extract from oocytes incubated in ¹⁵NH₃/¹⁵NH₄⁺ exhibited a very low Gln signal ([Gln] ≈ 1.1 mM) vs. the shams (undetectable Gln). RhAG, a component of the erythrocyte Rh complex, has a higher NH₃/CO₂ permeability ratio than AmtB (Endeward et al. 2006; Musa-Aziz et al. 2009), and RhAG-expressing oocytes (like AmtB oocytes) exhibit high ΔpH_S values and very small pH_i changes when exposed to 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺. However, extracts from RhAG oocytes exposed to 0.5 mM ¹⁵NH₃/¹⁵NH₄⁺ have undetectable Gln (not shown).

¹H-¹⁵N-HSQC spectra (acquired as in Fig. 6 from a separate batch of RhAG oocytes incubated with 0.5 mM ¹⁵NH₄Cl) showed no significant ¹⁵N-enrichment of amides in the metabolites, although we only examined Gln and a few other likely metabolites. Although we cannot rule out the possibility that oocytes sequester NH₃ via unknown metabolic pathways, our ¹H-¹³C]NMR and ¹H-¹⁵N-HSQC

data rule out substantial conversion of NH₃ to Gln and provide no evidence for other pathways.

NH₃/NH₄⁺ Accumulation in Oocyte Water

To determine if oocytes accumulate NH₃/NH₄⁺ per se, we incubated noninjected control oocytes or AmtB oocytes in ND96 containing 0.5 mM ¹⁵NH₃/¹⁵NH₄⁺ for 30 min. After the incubation, we washed the oocytes with a NH₃-free ND96 solution and, following homogenization and centrifugation, measured the total ¹⁵NH₃ + ¹⁵NH₄⁺ of the supernatant using ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC (Fig. 6a, b). We found that ¹⁵NH₃/¹⁵NH₄⁺—which reflects only NH₃/NH₄⁺ taken up during the incubation—was ~30% higher in AmtB oocytes (4.15 mM computed for total oocyte H₂O) than in control noninjected oocytes (3.33 mM). Because pH_i was ~7.40 in these experiments—and pH_o was 7.50 and [NH₃/NH₄⁺]_o was 0.5 mM—we can conclude that if NH₃ fully equilibrated across the cell membrane, cytosolic [NH₃/NH₄⁺] (i.e., the concentration in the water in direct contact with the inner surface of the plasma membrane) could only have been 0.5 mM × 10^(7.50–7.40) ≈ 0.6 mM. Note that even this




Fig. 6 Representative ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of *Xenopus* oocytes incubated with ¹⁵NH₃/¹⁵NH₄⁺. **a** Control noninjected oocytes. **b** AmtB oocytes. Total ammonia appears as a single resonance at ~6.5 ppm (relative to water, assigned to 4.7 ppm). Spectral intensities were scaled relative to the intensity of 0.5 mM ¹⁵N-ammonia in the incubation medium of each sample acquired with the same pulse parameter settings. The residual water signal artifact appears at

4.7 ppm. **c** Total ammonia (NH₃ + NH₄⁺) concentration in control noninjected and in AmtB oocytes. Values are mean ± SE, with numbers of oocyte samples for each group in parentheses. The statistical comparisons were made using unpaired two-tailed *t*-tests. The experimental error was large due to fast evaporation of the ammonia in both the standard solution and the extracts

level of cytosolic NH₃/NH₄⁺ would have led—upon the removal of extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺—to a substantial fall in p*H*_i and a large transient overshoot of p*H*_s, neither of which we observed. Thus, we conclude that cytosolic [NH₃/NH₄⁺] must have been substantially lower than 0.6 mM. The most straightforward explanation for the extremely high level of intracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺ that we actually observed in the NMR experiments (i.e., for the 3⁺–4⁺ mM) is that the vast majority of intracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺ was, in fact, confined to an acidic intracellular compartment.

Discussion

Overview

The p*H*_i data in the present paper confirm the earlier observation that an exposure of a control *Xenopus* oocyte (i.e., not heterologously expressing other proteins) to a relatively high level of NH₃/NH₄⁺ leads to a paradoxical intracellular acidification (Burckhardt and Frömter 1992; Keicher and Meech 1994). Earlier investigators had also found that an exposure to a relatively low level of NH₃/NH₄⁺ leads to a negligible p*H*_i change (Bakouh et al. 2004). We now confirm both observations in H₂O oocytes (Fig. 4b and f, respectively). In addition, we make the novel observation that the expression of AmtB slows the p*H*_i fall elicited by an exposure to 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ (Fig. 4a), consistent with the hypothesis that AmtB predominantly promotes the influx of NH₃ over NH₄⁺ (regarding effects on p*H*_i). However, we believe that the most important contributions of the present study are (a) the simultaneous application of p*H*_s and p*H*_i measurements, which provide new insights into how *Xenopus* oocytes handle exposures to NH₃/NH₄⁺, and (b) novel p*H*_s data that show that AmtB enhances NH₃ fluxes during exposures to both 5 mM and 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺.

Evidence for Unusual NH₃/NH₄⁺ Handling by *Xenopus* Oocytes

Regardless of whether the concentration is 5 or 0.5 mM, exposing an oocyte to NH₃/NH₄⁺ causes an initial p*H*_s decrease, the explanation for which is straightforward: the influx of NH₃ causes a depletion of NH₃ at the extracellular surface of the oocyte, leading to the reaction NH₄⁺ → NH₃ + H⁺. However, five other observations indicate that the handling of NH₃ by *Xenopus* oocytes is unusual compared to that by other cells studied thus far.

First, when one exposes an oocyte to a relatively high level of NH₃/NH₄⁺, p*H*_i paradoxically falls. Almost all other cells—the exceptions being membranes that mediate either a massive influx of NH₄⁺ (Aickin and Thomas 1977; Kikeri et al. 1989) or no apparent flux of either NH₃ or

NH₄⁺ (Waisbren et al. 1994; Singh et al. 1995)—exhibit a rapid p*H*_i increase, due to the influx and protonation of NH₃ (Roos and Boron 1981). Working on oocytes, previous investigators had observed NH₃/NH₄⁺-induced p*H*_i decreases when introducing 10–20 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ (Burckhardt and Frömter 1992; Keicher and Meech 1994; Bakouh et al. 2006). In the present paper, we observed similar, though smaller p*H*_i decreases—with both H₂O-injected controls and AmtB oocytes—when introducing 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ (Fig. 2). This paradoxical fall in p*H*_i implies that the rate of intracellular acid loading is higher than that of acid extrusion. We examine potential explanations below, under “Models of NH₃/NH₄⁺ Handling by *Xenopus* Oocytes: ‘High’ [NH₃/NH₄⁺]_o.”

Second, when one exposes an oocyte to a relatively low level (e.g., 0.5 mM) of NH₃/NH₄⁺, p*H*_i either falls very slowly, and by a small amount (Figs. 3, 4e, f; H₂O bars), or does not change significantly (Fig. 4e, f; AmtB bars). These observations on H₂O oocytes confirm earlier work (Bakouh et al. 2004, 2006).

Third, after an exposure to relatively high levels of NH₃/NH₄⁺—10 to 20 mM in the case of others (Burckhardt and Frömter 1992; Keicher and Meech 1994; Bakouh et al. 2004, 2006) or 5 mM in the present work (Fig. 2)—the removal of extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺ causes p*H*_i to increase slowly, rather than to decrease rapidly (due to NH₃ efflux followed by the intracellular reaction NH₄⁺ → NH₃ + H⁺), as has been observed by numerous investigators working on most other cell types.

Fourth, although introducing NH₃/NH₄⁺ produces an abrupt fall in p*H*_s—indicative of NH₃ influx—the subsequent decay in p*H*_s is generally very slow, regardless of whether the oocytes are exposed to 5 or 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺. In H₂O-injected oocytes (Figs. 2a, 3a), the decay is monotonic and extremely slow throughout. In AmtB oocytes, the decay in p*H*_s is sometimes fast at first, but then extremely slow (see Fig. 2b, left, and Fig. 3b). In some other experiments on AmtB oocytes, even this rapid phase of p*H*_s decay is absent (Fig. 2b, right). In neither case does p*H*_s return to the p*H*_{Bulk} value of 7.50 during the course of an NH₃/NH₄⁺ exposure lasting ~15 min or more. Thus, the decay in p*H*_s in these experiments with NH₃ is decidedly slower than the rapid decay in p*H*_s in oocytes exposed to CO₂ (Endeward et al. 2006)—a decay that is complete in ~5 min. These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that—unlike CO₂ (which equilibrates across the membrane in a few minutes)—NH₃ is still far from equilibrated across the plasma membrane and continues to enter the oocyte for a prolonged period (Figs. 2, 3). A corollary is that the oocyte somehow maintains a relatively low level of NH₃ near the inner surface of the cell membrane.

Fifth, although one would expect that removing extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺ would cause p*H*_s to increase abruptly

and overshoot the initial pH_S (i.e., near the pH_{Bulk} of 7.50)—by an amount that approximates the magnitude of the pH_S decay during the preceding NH₃/NH₄⁺ exposure—in fact we observe little or no pH_S overshoot (Figs. 2, 3). Moreover, the expression of AmtB (vs. the injection of H₂O) increases the magnitudes of the rapid pH_S changes but does not produce a larger overshoot. These results imply that the NH₃ efflux from the oocyte is small, consistent with the hypothesis that (1) the pathways for NH₃ movement across the oocyte membrane are highly rectified (i.e., favoring influx over efflux), or (2) very little NH₄⁺ is present in the cytosol near the plasma membrane at the end of an NH₃/NH₄⁺ exposure. Thus, upon removal of extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺, very little free, cytosolic NH₄⁺ is available for the reaction NH₄⁺ → H⁺ + NH₃. This reaction is necessary not only for the pH_i to fall, but also to generate the NH₃ that subsequently exits and produces the pH_S overshoot. Moreover, a limited cytosolic NH₄⁺ would minimize the outwardly directed diffusion potential for NH₄⁺, and minimize a rebound hyperpolarization upon removal of extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺. Because we know of no examples of a rectifying of a gas flux through either lipid or a protein channel, option 2 is the simplest explanation, and would account for the observations that removal of extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺ fails to cause (a) a fall in oocyte pH_i (b) a large pH_S overshoot, and (c) a rebound hyperpolarization. Instead, NH₃/NH₄⁺ removal merely eliminates the processes that cause pH_S to fall and V_m to become more positive, causing these parameters simply to return to their pre-NH₃/NH₄⁺ values.

Models of NH₃/NH₄⁺ Handling by *Xenopus* Oocytes: “Low” [NH₃/NH₄⁺]_o


In the section following this one, we consider potential explanations for the pH_i and pH_S data obtained with the exposure to and withdrawal of relatively high NH₃/NH₄⁺ levels (e.g., 5 mM), with their attendant large and paradoxical pH_i changes. In this section, we examine experiments with relatively low NH₃/NH₄⁺ levels (e.g., 0.5 mM), where the paradoxical pH_i changes—mediated by a process with a low affinity for NH₃ and/or NH₄⁺—are minimal. We consider five models of NH₃ handling by *Xenopus* oocytes (Fig. 7) that could account for why an exposure to NH₃/NH₄⁺ would cause virtually no change in pH_i.

Model A: Is the pH_i Effect of NH₃ Influx Perfectly Matched by the pH_i Effect of NH₄⁺ Influx (Fig. 7a)?

In order for the influxes of NH₃ and NH₄⁺ to cause no change in pH_i, the influx of NH₃ (J_{NH₃}) and the influx of NH₄⁺ (J_{NH₄⁺}) would have to be in the ratio 10^(pH_i-pK_a), where pH_i is the initial pH_i and pK_a refers to the intracellular equilibrium NH₄⁺ ⇌ NH₃+H⁺. To make this point more clearly, we imagine that the oocyte’s cytosol contains a tiny amount of NH₃/NH₄⁺ that is equilibrated at the initial pH_i. If we assume that the pK_a of this reaction is 9.2, and, for the sake of simplicity, that the pH_i is 7.2, then

$$\frac{[NH_3]_i}{[NH_4^+]_i} = 10^{pH_i - pK_a} = 10^{7.2 - 9.2} = \frac{1}{100} \tag{1}$$

Fig. 7 Models of NH₃/NH₄⁺ handling by *Xenopus* oocytes



Thus, if $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ also were 1/100, then the parallel influxes of NH₃ and NH₄⁺ would not disturb the ratio $[\text{NH}_3]_i/[\text{NH}_4^+]_i$ and hence would not disturb the NH₃/NH₄⁺ equilibrium or pH_i. (In Fig. 7a, we assume an NH₃ influx of 100 arbitrary units.) We now define the virtual $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ ratio that would produce no change in the actual pH_i:

$$\left(\frac{J_{\text{NH}_3}}{J_{\text{NH}_4^+}}\right)_{\text{Null}} \equiv \frac{[\text{NH}_3]_i}{[\text{NH}_4^+]_i} = 10^{\text{pH}_i - \text{pK}_a} \quad (2)$$

In our example $(J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+})_{\text{Null}}$ is 1/100. To be more general, we can define $(J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+})_{\text{Null}}$ in terms of the pH on either side of the membrane:

$$\left(\frac{J_{\text{NH}_3}}{J_{\text{NH}_4^+}}\right)_{\text{Null}} = \frac{[\text{NH}_3]_i}{[\text{NH}_4^+]_i} = 10^{\text{pH} - \text{pK}_a} \quad (3)$$

Because solutions on opposite sides of the membrane will generally have different pH values, they will also have different requirements for $(J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+})_{\text{Null}}$, which—as we see below—can have interesting consequences.

We can also define the virtual value of pH that—given the actual fluxes of NH₃ and NH₄⁺—would produce no pH change in the compartment under consideration. Starting with an expression analogous to Eq. 3 and solving for pH, we have

$$\text{pH}_{\text{Null}} = \text{pK}_a + \log \frac{J_{\text{NH}_3}}{J_{\text{NH}_4^+}} \quad (4)$$

This equation has the form of the familiar Henderson-Hasselbalch equation, except that here we replace concentrations with fluxes. If the $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ ratio were 1/100 and pK_a were 9.2, then pH_{Null} would be

$$\text{pH}_{\text{Null}} = 9.2 + \log \frac{1}{100} = 7.2 \quad (5)$$

If the actual pH_i were 7.2 (i.e., the same as pH_{Null} in this example), the NH₃/NH₄⁺ fluxes would not alter pH_i. However, because the pH of the bulk extracellular fluid is 7.5, this same $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ ratio of 1/100 would necessarily alter pH_S. To determine the direction of the effect on pH_S, we return to Eq. 3. For the NH₃/NH₄⁺ fluxes to produce no change in pH_S (where the initial pH_S = pH_{Bulk} = 7.50),

$$\left(\frac{J_{\text{NH}_3}}{J_{\text{NH}_4^+}}\right)_{\text{Null}} = 10^{(\text{pH}_{\text{Bulk}} - \text{pK}_a)} = 10^{(7.5 - 9.2)} = \frac{1}{50} = \frac{2}{100} \quad (6)$$

To produce the observed decrease in pH_S, as in Fig. 1, the fluxes would have to be in a ratio >1/50 (or 2/100). However, if $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ were only 1/100—the value necessary to stabilize pH_i—the influx of NH₃ would be too low (or the influx of NH₄⁺ would be too high) to stabilize pH_S, and the following reaction on the extracellular surface of the oocyte would replenish some of the lost NH₄⁺: NH₃ + H⁺ → NH₄⁺ (Fig. 7a). Thus, if the $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ ratio were positioned to stabilize pH_i, pH_S would rise,

rather than fall as actually observed. Obviously, $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ cannot simultaneously be 1/100 (to explain the stability of pH_i) and >2/100 (to explain the fall in pH_S). Therefore, no combination of NH₃ influx and NH₄⁺ influx—regardless of mechanism (e.g., influx through a Na/K/Cl cotransporter or a channel)—can simultaneously account, by itself, for the pH_i and pH_S data. Thus, we can rule out model A for experiments at 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺. Below, we see that a similar line of reasoning rules out NH₄⁺ influx as a potential mechanism for the paradoxical fall of pH_i in 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺.

Table 1 summarizes the directions of the expected pH changes for the three possible conditions: pH < pH_{Null}, pH = pH_{Null}, and pH > pH_{Null}.¹ These pH vs. pH_{Null} relationships are mirrored by corresponding $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ vs. $(J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+})_{\text{Null}}$ relationships. Notice that, in the case of inequalities, the directions of the pH changes are opposite on the side from which the NH₃ and NH₄⁺ exit vs. the side which NH₃ and NH₄⁺ enter.

Note that the model that we developed here only allows us to conclude that a NH₃/NH₄⁺-induced fall in pH_S in our experiments is associated with a $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ that is >1/50. We cannot rule out an influx of NH₄⁺. In fact, a hypothetical influx of NH₄⁺ could be 49-fold greater than the influx of NH₃, and yet pH_S still would fall. On the other hand, a large absolute influx of NH₄⁺ would slow the fall in pH_S and reduce the magnitude of -pH_S. Such kinetic issues can only be addressed by a model that computes the time course of pH_S.

Model B: Is the Influx of NH₃ Perfectly Matched by an Influx of H⁺ (Fig. 7b)?

Even if NH₄⁺ did not enter the oocyte, pH_i would be stable if J_{NH_3} were matched by a comparable J_{H^+} . Each entering NH₃ would undergo the reaction NH₃ + H⁺ → NH₄⁺, and the lost cytosolic H⁺ would be replenished by an influx of H⁺. In Fig. 7b, we assume a J_{H^+} of 100 arbitrary units and a J_{NH_3} of 100, and we also assume that the NH₃ disappearing from the extracellular surface of the cell would be replenished by an NH₃ diffusion from the bulk extracellular fluid (ECF) of 90 and a contribution of 10 from the extracellular reaction NH₄⁺ → H⁺ + NH₃. Because this model results in a net consumption of H⁺ at the extracellular surface (100 - 10 = 90 in this example), pH_S would rise rather than fall as we observe. Moreover, this model would predict an enormous production of intracellular NH₄⁺, which would produce cell swelling; we observe no

¹ In our analysis, we assume that NH₃ and NH₄⁺ move in the same direction. If they should move in opposite directions, then pH would always fall on the side of the membrane toward which NH₄⁺ moves, and would always rise on the opposite side.

Table 1 Predicted pH changes

Condition	Effect on compartment from which NH ₃ and NH ₃ /NH ₄ ⁺ leave	Effect on compartment that NH ₃ and NH ₃ /NH ₄ ⁺ enter
pH < pH _{Null} ... that is, $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+} > (J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+})_{\text{Null}}$	↓ pH	↑ pH
pH = pH _{Null} ... that is, $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+} = (J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+})_{\text{Null}}$	Δ pH	Δ pH
pH > pH _{Null} ... that is, $J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+} < (J_{\text{NH}_3}/J_{\text{NH}_4^+})_{\text{Null}}$	↑ pH	↓ pH

Note. ↓pH, decrease in pH; ↑pH, increase in pH; Δ pH, no pH change in this compartment

tendency for the oocyte to swell. Finally, the massive accumulation of intracellular NH₄⁺ would, upon removal of extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺, lead to a substantial decline in pH_i and overshoot of pH_S (i.e., increase beyond the pH_{Bulk} value of 7.50), neither of which we observe. In this analysis, we have assumed no flux of NH₄⁺. To the extent that NH₄⁺ entered the oocyte, we would require less H⁺ influx to produce no pH_i change. However, any substantial H⁺ influx would be inconsistent with our pH_S data, and we have already seen in our analysis of model A that NH₄⁺ influx cannot account for our data. It is theoretically possible that the oocyte could export NH₄⁺, thereby avoiding swelling. However, the electrochemical gradient for NH₄⁺ is inward, and in any case pH_S would rise (rather than fall, as we observe). Therefore, model B is incorrect.

Model C: Is the Influx of NH₃ Perfectly Matched by the Metabolic Production of H⁺ (Fig. 7c)?

This analysis is similar to that for model B except that we can ignore the incorrect predictions that stem from H⁺ influx across the plasma membrane. Nevertheless, we are left with the massive accumulation of intracellular NH₄⁺, which would lead to cell swelling and—upon NH₃/NH₄⁺ removal—a large pH_i decline and a large pH_S overshoot, neither of which we observe.

Model D: Is Virtually all Entering NH₃ Metabolized to a Neutral Product(s)? (Fig. 7d)?

If an amido-transferase reaction (e.g., the conversion of glutamate to glutamine) consumed the entering NH₃, pH_i would not change. Moreover, NH₄⁺ would not accumulate inside the cell during the NH₃/NH₄⁺ exposure. Thus following the withdrawal of extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺, pH_i would not fall and pH_S would not overshoot pH_{Bulk}. To test model D, we attempted to detect Gln by both ¹H-[¹³C] (Fig. 5) and ¹H-¹⁵N-HSQC NMR spectroscopy, but observed little Gln or other likely NH₃ metabolite. These data, combined with our demonstration of substantial NH₃/NH₄⁺ accumulation (Fig. 6)—presumably in acidic intracellular vesicles—make it unlikely that the oocyte performs substantial conversion of NH₃ by metabolic processes.

Model E: Is Virtually all Entering NH₃ Sequestered in an Acidic Subcompartment as NH₄⁺ (Fig. 7e)?

In this model, a small fraction of entering NH₃ equilibrates with H⁺ to produce NH₄⁺ in a cytoplasmic microenvironment immediately below the surface of the plasma membrane. Both the NH₃ and the NH₄⁺ would diffuse slightly deeper into the oocyte, where NH₃ enters an acidic vesicle and becomes trapped as NH₄⁺. To test this hypothesis, we used ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC NMR spectroscopy to measure total intercellular NH₃/NH₄⁺, finding values (Fig. 6) that were far too high to represent cytosolic NH₃/NH₄⁺. Thus, we conclude that the vast majority of intracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺ must be trapped as NH₄⁺ in vesicles. Indeed, oocytes contain copious yolk granules or platelets (50% of oocyte volume), containing yolk proteins (80% of total cell proteins), and having a pH of ~5.6 (Fagotto and Maxfield 1994a, 1994b; Fagotto 1995). By microscopy, a layer of vesicles begins within ~10 μm of the plasma membrane (Lu et al. 2006).

We predict that—at least during brief experiments such as ours—NH₃/NH₄⁺ levels would rise only modestly in the small subcompartment between the membrane and the vesicles, and relatively little of the entering NH₃ could escape the aforementioned vesicles to penetrate deeper into the oocyte. The influx of NH₃ into the vesicle would lead to a fall in [NH₃] at the vesicle surface, favoring the reaction NH₄⁺ → NH₃ + H⁺, which would minimize pH_i changes. It is possible that a high intravesicular buffering power (provided by abundant yolk proteins) could, by itself, sufficiently stabilize intravesicular pH over the course of our experiments. In addition, vesicular H⁺ pumps could replenish intravesicular H⁺, with cytosolic metabolism providing the necessary H⁺, again tending to stabilize cytosolic pH.

The NH₄⁺-trapping hypothesis would account for all key, paradoxical findings dealing with NH₃. (1) The extracellular addition of 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ produces a pH_i change of virtually nil (the conversion of incoming NH₃ to NH₄⁺ occurs in a subcompartment, not in the cytosol). (2) The fall in pH_S produced by the extracellular addition of NH₃/NH₄⁺ relaxes very slowly (NH₃ continues to enter the subcompartment, and perhaps deeper into the

oocyte, for many tens of minutes). (3) The extracellular removal of NH₃/NH₄⁺ causes virtually no fall in pH_i (virtually no NH₄⁺ is present in the cytosol). And (4) the extracellular removal of NH₃/NH₄⁺ produces little or no overshoot of pH_s (because little NH₃/NH₄⁺ is present free in the cytosol near the plasma membrane, the efflux of NH₃ is very low).

Models of NH₃/NH₄⁺ Handling by *Xenopus* Oocytes: “High” [NH₃/NH₄⁺]_o

Compared to the analysis of data from experiments with 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺, that of data from experiments with 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ is complicated by the paradoxical fall in pH_i (Fig. 2), which creates the added paradox that pH falls on both sides of the membrane.

Model A: Does the Influx of NH₄⁺ Cause the Paradoxical Fall in pH_i?

Previous investigators favored the hypothesis that the paradoxical fall in pH_i is due to the influx of NH₄⁺—via either an Na/K/Cl cotransporter (Keicher and Meech 1994) or a nonselective cation channel (Burckhardt and Frömter 1992)—followed by the cytosolic reaction NH₄⁺ → NH₃ + H⁺. The channel hypothesis, in particular, requires that the oocyte dispose of the newly formed NH₃—by either NH₃ efflux or intracellular NH₃ metabolism or sequestration. Our pH_s data (see Fig. 2) demonstrate a net movement of NH₃ into the cell, ruling out the first NH₃-disposal option. In the introductory section, we noted limitations of the Na/K/Cl-cotransporter hypothesis. Moreover, although we agree that a NH₄⁺ conductance is a reasonable explanation for the depolarization triggered by the exposure to NH₃/NH₄⁺, two arguments will lead us to conclude here that the hypothesized NH₄⁺ entry via a nonselective cation channel is not a viable explanation for the paradoxical fall in pH_i. When we apply 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺, the speed of the pH_i decrease (an index of the hypothesized NH₄⁺ influx) is maximal early on and then gradually wanes as pH_i stabilizes (see Fig. 2). On the other hand, the positive shift in V_m is small early on and slowly reaches a maximal value over nearly 15 min. Thus, if the depolarization is an index of permeability to NH₄⁺, we conclude that the NH₄⁺ conductance develops far too slowly to account for the decrease in pH_i.²

Finally, using the same logic as we did for model A in the previous section (see Fig. 7a), we can conclude that it is impossible for the NH₃/NH₄⁺-influx ratio to be—at the

same time—low enough to cause pH_i to fall and yet high enough to cause pH_s to fall. For example, if pH_i is 7.2 and pK_a is 9.2, then (J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺})_{Null} would be 1/100. Thus, for the influx of NH₄⁺ to lower pH_i, J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺} would have to be <1/100. However, as we saw earlier, for the influxes of NH₃/NH₄⁺ to lower pH_s (J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺})_{Null} would have to be >2/100. Because (J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺})_{Null} cannot simultaneously be <1/100 and >2/100, the influx of NH₄⁺—regardless of mechanism—cannot account for the paradoxical fall in pH_i.

We might note that, at least in theory, it would be possible for the parallel influxes of NH₃ and NH₄⁺ to cause both pH_i and pH_s to fall. As noted in Table 1, in the compartment that NH₃ and NH₄⁺ enter, the pH would fall if pH > pH_{Null}. As we have just seen, a fall in pH_s from an initial value of 7.5 requires that J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺} be >2/100; let us assume a J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺} of 10/100. For this ratio, pH_{Null} would be 8.2. Thus, if the initial pH_i were >8.2, a J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺} of 10/100 would cause pH_i to fall along with pH_s. (Of course, because the actual initial pH_i is far less than 8.2, this explanation is not valid for our data.) Using similar logic, we could predict conditions in which the parallel influxes of NH₃ and NH₄⁺ would raise both pH_i and pH_s.

Model B: Does the Influx of H⁺ Causes the Paradoxical Fall in pH_i?

As noted in the previous section’s model B, in our analysis of data for 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺, even an H⁺ influx sufficient to stabilize pH_i in the face of an NH₃ influx (see Fig. 7b) would lead to a rise—rather than the observed fall—in pH_s. Because an even greater H⁺ influx would be needed to produce a net fall in pH_i—and such a greater H⁺ influx would cause an even greater increase in pH_s—we can rule out the H⁺-influx model.

Model C: Does the Intracellular Release or Production of H⁺ Cause the Paradoxical Fall in pH_i?

As noted in the previous section’s model C (see Fig. 7c), the intracellular generation of H⁺—by itself—during the NH₃/NH₄⁺ exposure would lead to NH₄⁺ accumulation in the cytosol and thus cell swelling (not observed). During NH₃/NH₄⁺ withdrawal, the accumulated NH₄⁺ would dissociate to produce H⁺ (we observed no fall in pH_i) and NH₃, which would exit the cell (we observed no substantial pH_s overshoot). However, if H⁺ generation occurred in parallel with trapping—in acidic intracellular vesicles—of nearly all incoming NH₃, then cytosolic H⁺ production would promote accumulation of NH₄⁺ in vesicles rather than in the cytosol. Thus, this hybrid H⁺-generation/vesicular NH₄⁺-trapping model (see Fig. 7e) would account for our data with 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺.

² We cannot rule out the possibility that the NH₄⁺ conductance is immediately high, but that other conductances—also initially high—gradually decline to allow V_m to approach E_{NH₄⁺}.

*Model D: Does the Closing of NH₄⁺-Permeable Channels Cause p*H*_i to Rise Following Withdrawal of High Levels of NH₃/NH₄⁺?*

The only explanation offered by previous investigators for the observed rise in p*H*_i with NH₃/NH₄⁺ removal is that the sudden repolarization of the oocyte membrane would close nonselective cation channels and thus allow a slow efflux of accumulated cytosolic NH₄⁺, leading to a sluggish p*H*_i recovery (Burckhardt and Frömter 1992). Presumably, the release of previously sequestered NH₃ would lead to the cytosolic reaction NH₃ + H⁺ → NH₄⁺, which would lead to a slow rise in p*H*_i. One argument against the channel model is that, as already noted, our data³ indicate that [NH₄⁺] in the cytosol near the inner surface of the plasma membrane must be very low, and thus NH₄⁺ efflux could not produce a sustained p*H*_i increase. Consistent with this idea, following the removal of NH₃/NH₄⁺, the V_m undershoot is small and short-lived (Fig. 2).⁴ A much stronger argument flows from our new analysis of (J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺})_{N_ull} values. For a hypothetical efflux of NH₄⁺ to cause a rise in p*H*_i—starting from an initial p*H*_i of, say, 6.9—the absolute value of (J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺})_{N_ull} would have to be <[NH₃]_i/[NH₄⁺]_i = 10^(6.9–9.2) = 0.5/100 (see Eq. 3 and Table 1). On the other hand, even though p*H*_S does not exhibit a substantial overshoot of its initial value, the small p*H*_S overshoot that we do observe indicates that |J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺}| would have to be >10^(7.5–9.2) = 2/100 (see Table 1). Because |J_{NH₃}/J_{NH₄⁺}| cannot simultaneously be <0.5/100 and >2/100, we can conclude that an NH₄⁺ efflux, regardless of mechanism, cannot account for the slow p*H*_i increase.}}}}}}}}

*Model E: Might Endogenous Na–H Exchange Cause p*H*_i to Rise Following Withdrawal of High Levels of NH₃/NH₄⁺?*

After an intracellular acid load induced by exposure to CO₂ or butyric acid, *Xenopus* oocytes not heterologously expressing acid-base transporters have very low rates of acid extrusion (Romero et al. 1997; Grichtchenko et al. 2001; Piermarini et al. 2007). Thus, the p*H*_i recovery occurs only after removal of NH₃/NH₄⁺. Furthermore, by analogy to the argument made in the previous section’s

point B (see Fig. 7b), the extrusion of H⁺ would have produced a fall in p*H*_S, rather than the small overshoot that we observed (Fig. 2). Thus, acid extrusion by an endogenous transporter also cannot account for the p*H*_i recovery.

*Model F: Might the Activation (or Inactivation) of Cytosolic H⁺ Production Cause the Fall (or rise) in p*H*_i Caused by the Application (or Removal) of Extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺?*

Although we can rule out NH₄⁺ or H⁺ uptake as an explanation for the paradoxical p*H*_i decrease caused by the application of 5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ (and presumably higher concentrations as well)—and NH₄⁺ or H⁺ efflux as an explanation for the paradoxical p*H*_i increase caused by removal of high levels of NH₃/NH₄⁺—we have no definitive explanation for either p*H*_i change. Others have proposed that members of the Rh family function as NH₃/NH₄⁺ sensors in determining the choice of slug vs. culmination in *Dictyostelium discoideum* (Kirsten et al. 2005, 2008; Singleton et al. 2006). We propose that oocytes have a low-affinity “sensor” that responds, directly or indirectly, to extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺. This oocyte sensor could be either at the outer surface of the plasma membrane or somewhere reasonably close to the inner surface, but ultimately must act in two ways. (a) The sensor triggers the production of cytosolic H⁺—perhaps by a metabolic pathway. The influx of NH₃ would temper the fall in p*H*_i and lead to the formation of some NH₄⁺, which also would temper the depolarization by reducing the inwardly directed NH₄⁺ diffusion potential. Indeed, AmtB reduces the depolarization (compare AmtB vs. H₂O bars in Fig. 4d and h). However, ultimately, the overwhelming majority of the incoming NH₃ must be trapped as NH₄⁺ in a presumably acidic intracellular compartment. (b) The oocyte sensor triggers the slow activation of a channel permeable to NH₄⁺. We have no data to address the issue of whether this activation requires the attendant fall in p*H*_i, or whether the putative increase in NH₄⁺ permeability and the observed fall in p*H*_i are totally independent. Note that NH₄⁺ need not enter in order to depolarize the cell. We suggest that removal of extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺ reverses this production, leading to consumption of H⁺ and thus the recovery of p*H*_i. Because the p*H*_i recovery begins so soon after NH₃/NH₄⁺ removal, we suggest that the NH₃/NH₄⁺ sensor faces the extracellular fluid.

V_m Changes

As noted earlier, the slowly developing depolarization that develops in the presence of NH₃/NH₄⁺ could reflect permeability to NH₄⁺, as suggested by others (Burckhardt and Frömter 1992). It is interesting to note that, at 5 both mM

³ The removal of NH₃/NH₄⁺ causes neither a fall in p*H*_i nor a rise in p*H*_S that substantially overshoots the initial value.

⁴ Assuming that the NH₄⁺-conductive pathway remained activate and that substantial NH₄⁺ were present in the cytosol, the removal of extracellular NH₄⁺ would create a diffusion potential that would drive V_m to well below the pre-NH₃/NH₄⁺ value. Instead, we observed a V_m minimal undershoot that decayed rapidly, presumably reflecting either NH₄⁺ efflux per se, or NH₃ efflux followed by the cytosolic reaction NH₄⁺ → NH₃ + H⁺. We have no data that bear on the decay of the presumed NH₄⁺ conductance.

and 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺, expression of AmtB substantially reduced the NH₃/NH₄⁺-induced depolarization (Fig. 4d and h) without significantly affecting pH_i (Fig. 4b and f). We hypothesize that the additional influx of NH₃ through AmtB leads to the formation of modest amounts of NH₄⁺ immediately beneath the plasma membrane, reducing the diffusion gradient for NH₄⁺.

Possible Benefits of the Oocyte's Unusual Handling of NH₃/NH₄⁺

An intriguing question that remains is why the oocyte should handle NH₃ in such an unusual manner. One possibility is that the oocyte's responses to extracellular NH₃/NH₄⁺ are an adaptation that protects the cell—and perhaps, more importantly, its developmental program—from the appearance of NH₃ in pond water that contains decaying organic matter and thus NH₃/NH₄⁺. Levels up to at least 0.5 mM NH₃/NH₄⁺ cause no discernible changes in pH_i, and even much higher levels lead, at most, to modest, slow, and fully reversible pH_i changes. In a more typical response, an exposure to NH₃/NH₄⁺ might mimic the rise in pH_i caused by the fertilization of a *Xenopus* oocyte (Webb and Nuccitelli 1981; Nuccitelli et al. 1981). The *Xenopus* oocyte seems to be particularly adept at avoiding NH₃/NH₄⁺-induced pH_i increases.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by grants from the Office of Naval Research (1N000140810532 to W.F.B.) and the National Institutes of Health (NINDS 1 P30-NS052519 to K.L.B.). At Yale University, we thank Duncan Wong for computer support. We thank Mark D. Parker for helpful discussions, Dale Huffman for engineering assistance, and Charleen Bertolini for administrative support.

References

- Aickin CC, Thomas RC (1977) An investigation of the ionic mechanism of intracellular pH regulation in mouse soleus muscle fibres. *J Physiol (Lond)* 273:295–316
- Andrade SL, Dickmanns A, Ficner R, Einsle O (2005) Crystal structure of the archaeal ammonium transporter Amt-I from *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:14994–14999
- Bakouh N, Benjelloun F, Hulin P, Brouillard F, Edelman A, Chérif-Zahar B, Planelles G (2004) NH₃ is involved in the NH₄⁺ transport induced by the functional expression of the human Rh C glycoprotein. *J Biol Chem* 279:15975–15983
- Bakouh N, Benjelloun F, Chérif-Zahar B, Planelles G (2006) The challenge of understanding ammonium homeostasis and the role of the Rh glycoproteins. *Transfus Clin Biol* 13:139–146
- Boron WF, De Weer P (1976a) Active proton transport stimulated by CO₂/HCO₃⁻ blocked by cyanide. *Nature* 259:240–241
- Boron WF, De Weer P (1976b) Intracellular pH transients in squid giant axons caused by CO₂, NH₃ and metabolic inhibitors. *J Gen Physiol* 67:91–112
- Burckhardt BC, Frömter E (1992) Pathways of NH₃/NH₄⁺ permeation across *Xenopus laevis* oocyte cell membrane. *Pflügers Arch* 420:83–86
- Chesler M (1986) Regulation of intracellular pH in reticulospinal neurones of the lamprey, *Petromyzon Marinus*. *J Physiol (Lond)* 381:241–261
- Conroy MJ, Durand A, Lupo D, Li XD, Bullough PA, Winkler FK, Merrick M (2007) The crystal structure of the Escherichia coli AmtB-GlnK complex reveals how GlnK regulates the ammonia channel. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:1213–1218
- De Hemptinne A, Huguenin F (1984) The influence of muscle respiration and glycolysis on surface and intracellular pH in fibres of the rat soleus. *J Physiol* 347:581–592
- Endeward V, Musa-Aziz R, Cooper GJ, Chen L, Pelletier MF, Virkki LV, Supuran CT, King LS, Boron WF, Gros G (2006) Evidence that Aquaporin 1 is a major pathway for CO₂ transport across the human erythrocyte membrane. *FASEB J* 20:1974–1981
- Fabiny JM, Jayakumar A, Chinault AC, Barnes EM Jr (1991) Ammonium transport in Escherichia coli: localization and nucleotide sequence of the amtA gene. *J Gen Microbiol* 137(Pt 4): 983–989
- Fagotto F (1995) Regulation of yolk degradation, or how to make sleepy lysosomes. *J Cell Sci* 108(Pt 12):3645–3647
- Fagotto F, Maxfield FR (1994a) Changes in yolk platelet pH during *Xenopus laevis* development correlate with yolk utilization. A quantitative confocal microscopy study. *J Cell Sci* 107:3325–3337
- Fagotto F, Maxfield FR (1994b) Yolk platelets in *Xenopus* oocytes maintain an acidic internal pH which may be essential for sodium accumulation. *J Cell Biol* 125:1047–1056
- Grichtchenko II, Choi I, Zhong X, Bray-Ward P, Russell JM, Boron WF (2001) Cloning, characterization, and chromosomal mapping of a human electroneutral Na⁺-driven Cl-HCO₃ exchanger. *J Biol Chem* 276:8358–8363
- Grzesiek S, Bax A (1993) The importance of not saturating H₂O in proton NMR - application to sensitivity enhancement and NOE measurements. *J Am Chem Soc* 115:12593–12594
- Harvey EN (1911) Studies on the permeability of cells. *J Exp Zool* 10:507–556
- Jacobs MH (1922) The influence of ammonium salts on cell reaction. *J Gen Physiol* 5:181–188
- Kanamori K, Ross BD, Tropp J (1995) Selective, in vivo observation of [5-N-15]glutamine amide protons in rat-brain by H-1-N-15 heteronuclear multiple-quantum-coherence transfer NMR. *J Magnet Reson Ser B* 107:107–115
- Keicher E, Meech R (1994) Endogenous Na⁺-K⁺ (or NH₄⁺)-2Cl⁻ cotransport in Rana oocytes; anomalous effect of external NH₄⁺ on pH_i. *J Physiol* 475:45–57
- Khademi S, Stroud RM (2006) The Amt/MEP/Rh family: structure of AmtB and the mechanism of ammonia gas conduction. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 21:419–429
- Khademi S, O'Connell J, Remis J, Robles-Colmenares Y, Miericke LJW, Stroud RM (2004) Mechanism of ammonia transport by Amt/MEP/Rh: Structure of AmtB at 1.35 angstrom. *Science* 305:1587–1594
- Kikeri D, Sun A, Zeidel ML, Hebert SC (1989) Cell membranes impermeable to NH₃. *Nature* 339:478–480
- Kirsten JH, Xiong Y, Dunbar AJ, Rai M, Singleton CK (2005) Ammonium transporter C of *Dictyostelium discoideum* is required for correct prestalk gene expression and for regulating the choice between slug migration and culmination. *Dev Biol* 287:146–156
- Kirsten JH, Xiong Y, Davis CT, Singleton CK (2008) Subcellular localization of ammonium transporters in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *BMC Cell Biol* 9:71
- Lu J, Daly CM, Parker MD, Gill HS, Piermarini PM, Pelletier MF, Boron WF (2006) Effect of human carbonic anhydrase II on the activity of the human electrogenic Na/HCO₃ cotransporter NBCe1-A in *Xenopus* oocytes. *J Biol Chem* 281:19241–19250

- Musa-Aziz R, Chen L, Pelletier MF, Boron WF (2009) Relative CO₂/NH₃ selectivities of AQP1, AQP4, AQP5, AmtB and RhAG. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (in press)
- Nuccitelli R, Webb DJ, Lagier ST, Matson GB (1981) ³¹P NMR reveals increased intracellular pH after fertilization in *Xenopus* eggs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 78:4421–4425
- Overton E (1897) Über die osmotischen Eigenschaften der Zelle in ihrer Bedeutung für die Toxicologie und Pharmacologie. *Z Phys Chem* 22:189–209
- Parker MD, Musa-Aziz R, Rojas JD, Choi I, Daly CM, Boron WF (2008) Characterization of human SLC4A10 as an electroneutral Na/HCO₃ cotransporter (NBCn2) with Cl⁻-self-exchange activity. *J Biol Chem* 283:12777–12788
- Patel AB, de Graaf RA, Mason GF, Rothman DL, Shulman RG, Behar KL (2005) The contribution of GABA to glutamate/glutamine cycling and energy metabolism in the rat cortex in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:5588–5593
- Piermarini PM, Choi I, Boron WF (2007) Cloning and characterization of an electrogenic Na/HCO₃ cotransporter from the squid giant fiber lobe. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 292:C2032–C2045
- Piotto M, Saudek V, Sklenar V (1992) Gradient-tailored excitation for single-quantum NMR-spectroscopy of aqueous solutions. *J Biomol NMR* 2:661–665
- Romero MF, Hediger MA, Boulpaep EL, Boron WF (1997) Expression cloning and characterization of a renal electrogenic Na⁺/HCO₃⁻ cotransporter. *Nature* 387:409–413
- Romero MF, Fong P, Berger UV, Hediger MA, Boron WF (1998) Cloning and functional expression of rNBC, an electrogenic Na⁺/HCO₃⁻ cotransporter from rat kidney. *Am J Physiol* 274:F425–F432
- Roos A, Boron WF (1981) Intracellular pH. *Physiol Rev* 61:296–434
- Shaka AJ, Keeler J, Frenkiel T, Freeman R (1983) An improved sequence for broad-band decoupling—waltz-16. *J Magnet Reson* 52:335–338
- Singh SK, Binder HJ, Geibel JP, Boron WF (1995) An apical permeability barrier to NH₃/NH₄⁺ in isolated, perfused colonic crypts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:11573–11577
- Singleton CK, Kirsten JH, Dinsmore CJ (2006) Function of ammonium transporter A in the initiation of culmination of development in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Eukaryot Cell* 5:991–996
- Soupene E, Lee H, Kustu S (2002) Ammonium/methylammonium transport (Amt) proteins facilitate diffusion of NH₃ bidirectionally. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99:3926–3931
- Toye AM, Parker MD, Daly CM, Lu J, Virkki LV, Pelletier MF, Boron WF (2006) The human NBCe1-A mutant R881C, associated with proximal renal tubular acidosis, retains function but is mistargeted in polarized renal epithelia. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 291:C788–C801
- Waisbren SJ, Geibel JP, Modlin IM, Boron WF (1994) Unusual permeability properties of gastric gland cells. *Nature* 368:332–335
- Warburg EJ (1922) Studies on carbonic acid compounds and hydrogen ion activities in blood and salt solutions. A contribution to the theory of the equation of Lawrence J. Henderson and K.A. Hasselbalch. *Biochem Z* 16:153–340
- Webb DJ, Nuccitelli R (1981) Direct measurement of intracellular pH changes in *Xenopus* eggs at fertilization and cleavage. *J Cell Biol* 91:562–567
- Zheng L, Kostrewa D, Berneche S, Winkler FK, Li XD (2004) The mechanism of ammonia transport based on the crystal structure of AmtB of *Escherichia coli*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:17090–17095